## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/051 093 LOLAVEKAR ET AL Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit Hanh Nouven 2416 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Hanh Nguyen. (3)Bary Young . (2) . (4) . Date of Interview: 11 March 2009 Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d\□ Yes e\□ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1.16.24.44 and 50. Identification of prior art discussed: None . Agreement with respect to the claims fild was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1, 16, 24, 44, 50 are amended as shown in the examiner amendment to avoid possible 112 2nd rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.